

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM LOLLISS,

No. 2:22-cv-0486 DB P

Plaintiff,

v.

ZELL, et al.,

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Defendants.

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff's complaint was filed with the court on March 15, 2022. The court's records reveal that on March 8, 2022, plaintiff filed a complaint containing largely identical allegations, Lollis v. Zell, 2:22-cv-0433 DB P.<sup>1</sup> Due to the duplicative nature of the present action, this court will recommend that the complaint be dismissed.

Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court IS HEREBY ORDERED to randomly assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

////

---

<sup>1</sup> A court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman, 803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); United States v. Wilson, 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980).

1       These findings and recommendations are submitted to the District Judge assigned to this  
2 case pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served  
3 with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court.  
4 The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and  
5 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time  
6 may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th  
7 Cir. 1991).

8       Dated: March 18, 2022



9  
10       DEBORAH BARNES  
11       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17       DLB:9  
18       DB prisoner inbox/civil rights/R/loll0486.23

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28